UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,083	12/08/2006	Claus Permesang	BE-172PCT	4320
⁴⁰⁵⁷⁰ FRIEDRICH K	7590 04/12/201 UEFFNER	0	EXAMINER	
317 MADISON	AVENUE, SUITE 91	LAUX, JESSICA L		
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,083	PERMESANG, CLAUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	JESSICA LAUX	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	t waisants the data of filing a baise	will make a setomode ba	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying the	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.4,6-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 3. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635	/J. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635	5	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: they raise the new issue of a molding cavity and tool and the support layer and coating element being positioned at a distance relative to each other..